organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Wei-Hao Huang, Peter Y. Zavalij and Lyle Isaacs*

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA

Correspondence e-mail: lisaacs@umd.edu

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 223 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.004 Å R factor = 0.035 wR factor = 0.085 Data-to-parameter ratio = 14.4

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

Cucurbit[6]uril *p*-phenylenediammonium diiodide decahydrate inclusion complex

The inclusion complex, $C_6H_{10}N_2^{2+}\cdot 2I^-\cdot C_{36}H_{36}N_{24}O_{12}.10H_2O$, displays a large ellipsoidal deformation of the cucurbit[6]uril skeleton upon complex formation. The cucurbit[6]uril molecule and the phenylenediammonium dication are each disposed about a center of inversion.

Comment

The supramolecular chemistry of the cucurbit [n] uril (CB[n]) family (Lagona et al., 2005; Kim et al., 2000) of macrocycles has received widespread attention in recent years because its members bind to hydrophobic species in water with high affinity and selectivity (Liu, Ruspic et al., 2005; Liu, Zavalij & Isaacs, 2005; Isaacs et al., 2005). These outstanding recognition processes have been exploited in numerous advanced applications including ion-channels (Jeon et al., 2004), self-assembling dendrimers (Moon et al., 2004), 'molecular' molecular sieves (Miyahara et al., 2002), crystal engineering (Sokolov et al., 2000), photochemical stabilizers (Mohanty & Nau, 2005), chemical sensors (Sindelar et al., 2005; Bush et al., 2005; Lagona et al., 2006), and as components of self-sorting systems (Mukhopadhyay et al., 2004, 2006). Despite its seemingly rigid polycyclic structure, CB[6] undergoes substantial deformations in its transition states for ingression and egression (Marquez et al., 2004) as well as in the ground states (Samsonenko et al., 2002) of its host-guest complexes.



The asymmetric unit of (I) comprises one half of a CB[6] molecule, disposed about a center of inversion, one half of a 1,4-phenylenediammonium cation, disposed about a center of inversion, an iodide counter-ion and five water molecules. Interestingly, each NH_3^+ group forms two hydrogen bonds to the ureidyl C=O portal of CB[6] (Fig. 1 and Table 1). The remaining NH group hydrogen bonds to a water molecule which, in turn, hydrogen bonds to the C=O portal of CB[6]. Several other water molecules are present in the structure of (I); these water molecules form hydrogen-bonded chains that are terminated by hydrogen bonding to the iodide counterions. The majority of the geometrical features of (I) are as expected, given the molecular structure of complex (I) that is

© 2007 International Union of Crystallography All rights reserved Received 17 January 2007 Accepted 24 January 2007





The molecular structure of complex (I), showing the atom-labeling scheme and displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 30% probability level. The iodide counter-ion and four of the five unique water molecules have been omitted for clarity. Dashed lines indicate hydrogen bonds.



Figure 2

Illustration of the packing of complex (I) in the bc plane of the crystal structure. Color coding: C gray, H white, N blue, O red and I purple.

unusual is the observed ellipsoidal deformation of the CB[6] skeleton. We follow the suggestion of Samsonenko et al. (2002) and quantify this distortion as the maximum difference observed between the opposing non-bonded carbon-carbon distance along the equator of the CB[6] molecule. By this definition, the CB[6] component of (I) exhibits an ellipsoidal deformation of 1.34 Å (non-bonded C-C range 9.51-10.85 Å).

Previously, Fedin and co-workers (Samsonenko et al., 2002) have shown that ellipsoidal deformation of the CB[6] skeleton of up to 1.31 Å can be achieved. The significant ellipsoidal deformation observed in complex (I) (1.34 Å) places it amongst the largest known. In combination, these results suggest that CB[6] – and, by analogy, other members of the CB[n] family (Lagona et al., 2005; Huang et al., 2006) - have the ability to respond to the sizes and shapes of their guest molecules.

Experimental

Complex (I) was prepared by mixing cucurbit[6]uril (Freeman et al., 1981) with 1,4-phenylenediamine dihydrochloride in water according to a literature procedure (Liu, Ruspic et al., 2005) followed by the addition of KI. Single crystals suitable for structure determination were obtained by allowing an aqueous solution of complex (I) to stand at room temperature for several days.

Crystal data

$C_6H_{10}N_2^{2+}\cdot 2I^-\cdot C_{36}H_{36}N_{24}O_{12}$	$V = 2800.1 (11) \text{ Å}^3$
$10H_2O$	Z = 2
$M_r = 1541.01$	$D_x = 1.828 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
a = 11.854 (3) Å	$\mu = 1.23 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
b = 15.460 (4) Å	T = 223 (2) K
c = 15.279 (4) Å	Prism, yellow
$\beta = 90.447 \ (4)^{\circ}$	$0.32 \times 0.23 \times 0.21 \text{ mm}$

31829 measured reflections 6417 independent reflections 5677 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.02P)^2 + 9.444P], P =$ $(\max(F_0^2, 0) + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.83 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.94 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.021$ $\theta_{\rm max} = 27.5^\circ$

Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD diffract-
ometer
ω and φ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)
$T_{\rm min} = 0.720, \ T_{\rm max} = 0.773$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.035$
$wR(F^2) = 0.085$
S = 1.00
6417 reflections
446 parameters
H atoms treated by a mixture of
independent and constrained
nofin one on t

refinement

Table 1 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$N1 - H1A \cdots O1W^{i}$ $N1 - H1B \cdots O24$ $N1 - H1C \cdots O33^{i}$ $O1W - H11W \cdots O2W^{i}$ $O1W - H12W \cdots O34^{i}$	0.90 0.90 0.90 0.841 (10) 0.829 (10)	1.85 2.19 1.95 2.04 (3) 2.19 (3)	2.728 (4) 2.945 (3) 2.840 (3) 2.716 (5) 2.765 (4)	165 141 169 137 (4) 127 (3)

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
	$\begin{array}{c} 0.829 \ (10) \\ 0.844 \ (10) \\ 0.844 \ (10) \\ 0.830 \ (10) \\ 0.826 \ (10) \\ 0.849 \ (10) \end{array}$	2.21 (3) 2.03 (3) 1.923 (19) 2.02 (3) 3.03 (3) 2.49 (4)	2.911 (3) 2.831 (5) 2.741 (4) 2.793 (4) 3.740 (4) 2.948 (4)	143 (4) 158 (6) 163 (5) 156 (6) 146 (4) 114 (3)
$\begin{array}{l} O4W-H42W\cdots O23^{\mathrm{ii}}\\ O5W-H51W\cdots I1\\ O5W-H52W\cdots I1^{\mathrm{iv}} \end{array}$	0.842 (10) 0.832 (10) 0.834 (10)	2.13 (3) 2.769 (15) 2.86 (2)	2.898 (4) 3.592 (4) 3.668 (3)	152 (5) 171 (5) 163 (6)

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1; (ii) x + 1, y, z; (iii) $x + \frac{1}{2}$, $-y + \frac{3}{2}$, $z + \frac{1}{2}$; (iv) -x, -y + 1, -z.

N- and C-bound H atoms were included in the riding-model approximation, with N-H = 0.90 and C-H = 0.98–0.99 Å, and with $U_{\rm iso}({\rm H}) = 1.5U_{\rm eq}({\rm N})$ and $U_{\rm iso}({\rm H}) = 1.2U_{\rm eq}({\rm C})$. O-bound H atoms were refined with soft constraints of O-H = 0.83 (1) Å and H···H = 1.32 (2) Å, and with $U_{\rm iso}({\rm H}) = 1.5U_{\rm eq}({\rm O})$.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 1999); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 1999); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *XSHELL* (Bruker, 1999); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

We thank the National Science Foundation (CHE-0615049), Maryland TEDCO, and the Petroleum Research Fund administered by the American Chemical Society (PRF-45228-AC4) for financial support.

References

Bruker (1999). SMART, SAINT and XSHELL. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

- Bush, M. E., Bouley, N. D. & Urbach, A. R. (2005). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 127, 14511–14517.
- Freeman, W. A., Mock, W. L. & Shih, N. Y. (1981). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 103, 7367–7368.
- Huang, W.-H., Liu, S., Zavalij, P. Y. & Isaacs, L. (2006). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 128, 14744–14745.
- Isaacs, L., Park, S.-K., Liu, S., Ko, Y.-H., Selvapalam, N., Kim, Y., Kim, H., Zavalij, P. Y., Kim, G.-H., Lee, H.-S. & Kim, K. (2005). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 127, 18000–18001.
- Jeon, J., Kim, H., Jon, S., Selvapalam, N., Oh, D. H., Seo, I., Park, C.-S., Jung, S. R., Koh, D.-K. & Kim, K. (2004). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 126, 15944–15945.
- Kim, J., Jung, I.-S., Kim, S.-Y., Lee, E., Kang, J.-K., Sakamoto, S., Yamaguchi, K. & Kim, K. (2000). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 122, 540–541.
- Lagona, J., Mukhopadhyay, P., Chakrabarti, S. & Isaacs, L. (2005). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 44, 4844–4870.
- Lagona, J., Wagner, B. D. & Isaacs, L. (2006). J. Org. Chem. 71, 1181-1190.
- Liu, S., Ruspic, C., Mukhopadhyay, P., Chakrabarti, S., Zavalij, P. Y. & Isaacs, L. (2005). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 127, 15959–15967.
- Liu, S., Zavalij, P. Y. & Isaacs, L. (2005). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 127, 16798– 16799.
- Marquez, C., Hudgins, R. R. & Nau, W. M. (2004). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 126, 5808–5816.
- Miyahara, Y., Abe, K. & Inazu, T. (2002). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 41, 3020–3023.
- Mohanty, J. & Nau, W. M. (2005). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 44, 3750-3754.
- Moon, K., Grindstaff, J., Sobransingh, D. & Kaifer, A. E. (2004). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 43, 5496–5499.
- Mukhopadhyay, P., Wu, A. & Isaacs, L. (2004). J. Org. Chem. 69, 6157–6164.
 Mukhopadhyay, P., Zavalij, P. Y. & Isaacs, L. (2006). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 128, 14093–14102.
- Samsonenko, D. G., Virovets, A. V., Lipkowski, J., Geras'ko, O. A. & Fedin, V. P. (2002). J. Struct. Chem. 43, 664–668.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sindelar, V., Cejas, M. A., Raymo, F. M., Chen, W., Parker, S. E. & Kaifer, A. E. (2005). Chem. Eur. J. 11, 7054–7059.
- Sokolov, M. N., Virovets, A. V., Dybtsev, D. N., Gerasko, O. A., Fedin, V. P., Hernandez-Molina, R., Clegg, W. & Sykes, A. G. (2000). *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **39**, 1659–1661.